(4)

SINGLE BENCH

CF 2002

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 418 /2007

PETITIONERS :

1. **Rajendra Kumar Gupta**
   S/o Shri Kanhaiya Lal Gupta
   Age about 46 years, Assistant Teacher
   R/o C/o Badria Prasad Gupta,
   Janta Bhawan, 343, Tilak Nagar,
   Gudhiyari, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)
2. **Mannu Lal Sahu,**
   S/o Shri Birjhu Ram Sahu
   Age about 43 years, Assistant Teacher
   Govt. Middle School, Sankari
   Abhanpur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4/2/07
Presented by Shri S.K. Keshari
dated 11/1/07

RECEIVED-COPY
10/1/7
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. **State Government of Chhattisgarh**
   Through :- **Secretary,**
   Tribal & Education Department,
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. **Assistant Commissioner**
   Tribal Welfare Department,
   Raipur (C.G.)
3. **District Education Officer**
   Raipur (C.G.)
4. **Block Education Officer**
   Abhanpur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER BILASPUR (C.G.) INDIA
S.C. Kaushik

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 418 of 2007

Petitioners : Rajendra Kumar Gupta and another

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 419 of 2007

Petitioners : Rajan Kumar Baghel & others

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer, for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 23rd Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subbu